## *Journal of Huorine Chemistry, 26 (1984) 507-S 14* 507

Received: April 16, 1984; accepted: June 29, 1984

### SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NEW HYDRAZINIUM(I+) FLUOROSTANNATES(II)

### D. GANTAR, A. RAHTEN

Jožef Stefan Institute, E. Kardelj University, 61000 Ljubljana (Yugoslavia)

#### B. VOLAVSEK

Visoka tehniška šola, The University, 62000 Maribor (Yugoslavia)

#### **SUMMARY**

Two new compounds,  $N_2H_5SnF_3$  and  $N_2H_5SnF_5$ , were obtained when the system  $N_2H_5F-SnF_2-H_2O$  was investigated. The solids were characterized by chemical analysis, vibrational spectra and X-ray powder diffraction patterns. Thermal decomposition studies of the compounds showed that they decompose through intermediates, the corresponding arrmonium fluorostannates(II), to tin(I1) fluoride.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Lewis acid ability of tin(II) fluoride to form different types of complexes with suitable Lewis bases is well established.

Products containing the trifluorostannate ion,  $\text{SnF}_{3}^{-}$ , have been prepared by reactions of tin(I1) fluoride with alkali metal fluorides, ammonium fluoride or non-transition metal(I1) nitrates in aqueous hydrofluoric solution  $[1-5]$ . The existence of the SnF<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> group was proved by vibrational analysis and X-ray diffraction studies.

When a 2:1 molar ratio of tin(II) fluoride to alkali fluoride was used, solids with composition  $MSn_2F_F$  (M = Na, K, Rb, Cs, NH<sub>11</sub>) were isolated and characterized  $[2, 6-8]$ . The formation of a polynuclear  $Sn_2F_5^-$  ion was confirmed by various physicochemical studies.

Following our previous research on hydrazinium(1+) fluorometallates in aqueous solution  $[9]$ , it seemed likely that new hydrazinium(1+) fluorostannates(I1) could be prepared by reaction between hydrazinium(l+) fluoride and tin(I1) fluoride.

0022-1139/84/\$3.00 OElsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Colourless crystals of  $N_{2}H_{5}SnF_{3}$  were obtained when tin(II) fluoride was dissolved in an aqueous solution of the stoichiometric amount of hydrazinium(1+) fluoride. When a 1:2 ratio of  $N_2H_EF:snF_2$  was used,  $N_2H_ESn_2F_E$ was prepared.

The  $SnF_3$  ion is pyramidal due to the presence of a sterically active non-bonding pair of electrons which makes it an excellent ligand. The  $Sn_2F_5$ ions are formed in concentrated tin(I1) solutions containing insufficient fluoride ions to complete the formation of  $\text{SnF}_{3}^{-}$ . In the crystal structure of NaSn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> [10] it was confirmed that two SnF<sub>2</sub> groups are linked by a bridging fluorine.

The vibrational spectra of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$  and  $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$  are given, together with the assignments, in Table 1.

The anionic part of the infrared spectrum of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$  is close to that of  $\texttt{NH}_{\mu}\texttt{SnF}_{3}$  which was analysed on the basis of the C  $_{3\texttt{v}}$  symmetry of the  $\text{SnF}_{2}^-$  anion [11]. The Raman spectrum shows additional bands beside the bands which clearly originate from SnF<sub>3</sub>. The frequencies  $v_2$  and  $V_{\mu}$ , which were previously calculated by Donaldson and coworkers  $\begin{bmatrix} 11 \end{bmatrix}$  for SnX<sub>2</sub> complexes, are in fact observed experimentally in the Raman spectrum of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$ . The splitting of  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  is probably caused either by site symmetry effects or by distortion of the SnF<sub>3</sub> groups. Due to unassigned additional bands, which should be understood only as a consequence of the interaction between the anionic units, we ascribe this splitting mainly to the distortion of the anions.

In the cationic part of the vibrational spectra of  $N_\mathrm{o}H_\mathrm{c}SnF_\mathrm{o}$  and  $N_2H_ESn_2F_E$  all bands in the region from 950 to 1667  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  are assigned to the  $N_2H_5$ <sup>+</sup> group [12].

The  $Sn_2F_5^-$  ion has  $C_2$  point symmetry and therefore fifteen vibrations of the molecule are expected, all infrared and Raman active. Assignment of th vibrational spectrum of  $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$  is consistent with normal coordinative analysis of the  $Sn_{2}F_{5}$  complexes [13]. The symmetric stretching vibration in  $Sn_2F_5^-$  is higher in  $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$  than in  $NH_4Sn_2F_5$ . This can be attributed to one Sn-F bond which is stronger than the others in the Sn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> complexes which were investigated by Donaldson et al.  $[13]$ . Distortion of the structure caused by the cation can be explained by the size of  $N_2H_5^{\dagger}$  in comparison to  $NH_{11}$ <sup>+</sup>.

TABLE **1** 

| Assignment   | $N_2H_5SnF_3$  |                    | $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$                    |                           | Assignment                          |  |
|--|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
|  | R  | ΙR                 | $\mathbb{R}$                       | IR                        |                                     |  |
|  | 108(1.5)<br>146 (2.8)                                      |                    | 130 (2.7)                          |                           |                                     |  |
| $v_{4}$ (SnF <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )               | [154 (5.6)<br>L162 (2.5)                                   |                    |                                    |                           | $(Sn-F_t)_b$                        |  |
| $V_2(SnF_3^-)$   | 196(2.8)<br>228 (1.7)                                      |                    | 193(2.7)<br>230(2.2)               |                           |                                     |  |
|  | 254(2.0)   |                    | 253(1.2)                           |                           | $(Sn-F_b)$ <sub>s</sub>             |  |
|  | 352(4.3)   |                    | 338(3.4)<br>375 (3.4)              | 319 m<br>$342$ m<br>375 m | $(Sn-F_t)$                          |  |
| $V_3(SnF_3^-)$   | $\begin{bmatrix} 408 & (5.1) \\ 425 & (4.4) \end{bmatrix}$ | 415s               | 399 (5.6)<br>429 (10)<br>450 (7.5) | 415 m                     |                                     |  |
| $V_1(SnF_3^-)$   | 464 (8.2)  | 475s               |                                    | 472 s                     | $(Sn-F_h)$ <sub>S</sub>             |  |
| $(\nu_{3} + \nu_{4})$ (SnF <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) |  | 547 s              | 581(0.7)                           | 545s                      | $(Sn-F_t)$ <sub>s</sub>             |  |
|  | 958 (10)   | $950$ vs           | 1019(5.9)                          | 945 s<br>970s<br>1004 s   | $(N-N)$ <sub>S</sub>                |  |
|  | 1118(2.5)  | 1085 vs<br>1107 vs | 1145 (2.2)<br>1159(1.6)            | 1082 vs<br>1115 vs        | $(NH_3^{\dagger})_b$                |  |
|  | 1508 (2.5)   | 1230 w<br>1505 s   | 1518(1.1)                          | 1260 w<br>1505 m          | $(NH_2)_b$                          |  |
|  | 1650 (3.8)   | 1606 s<br>1645 s   | 1555 (1.7)<br>1667 (1.3)           | 1580 m                    | $(MH_3^+)$<br>$(MH_2)$ <sub>d</sub> |  |

Vibrational spectra  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>SnF<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>

The X-ray powder diffraction data for  $N_2H_5$ Sn $F_3$  and  $N_2H_5$ Sn $_2F_5$  are given in Tables 2 and 3.

The X-ray powder photographs of  $\rm N_2H_S$ SnF<sub>3</sub> and  $\rm N_2H_S$ Sn $_2$ F<sub>5</sub> were indexed on the basis of an orthorhombic cell;  $\rm N_2H_S\rm snF_3$ , a = 15.05(1), b = 6.95(0), c = 4.36(0)  $\hat{R}$ ; N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, a = 10.56(0), b = 4.53(0), c = 7.16(0)  $\hat{R}$ .

 $N_2H_5$ SnF<sub>3</sub> was indexed by comparison with isomorphous  $N_2H_5$ CdF<sub>3</sub> and  $N_2H_5M_3$  (M = Mg, Co, Cu, Zn) complexes  $[14, 15]$ . The cell parameters of this complex are also very close to those of monoclinic CsSnF $_3:$  a = 14.283, b = 6.530, c = 4.855 A, (j = 90.998'(5). The cell parameters of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> are very close to those of monoclinic RbSn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>: a = 10.124, b = 4.272, c = 7.401  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}$  = 90.07<sup>o</sup>(8).



| h k l  | calc.  | d.<br>exp.   | I   | h k l  | $d_{calc.}$  | $d_{exp}$ .   | Ι  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1<br>0<br>0<br>1<br>2<br>0<br>$\Omega$<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>0<br>1<br>$\overline{c}$<br>0<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>$\overline{1}$<br>0<br>2<br>0<br>$\overline{c}$<br>0<br>1<br>$\mathbf{c}$<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>$\overline{1}$<br>3<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>5<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>$\overline{c}$<br>1<br>4<br>1<br>1<br>6<br>0<br>0<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>5<br>0<br>6<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>3<br>0<br>3<br>3<br>0<br>2<br>0<br>2 | 6.95<br>5.11<br>4.36<br>4.19<br>3.77<br>3.69<br>3.48<br>3.39<br>3.32<br>2.97<br>2.76<br>2.67<br>2.64<br>2.51<br>2.48<br>2.36<br>2.29<br>2.10<br>2.09 | 6.94<br>5.18<br>4.36<br>4.14<br>3.81<br>3.66<br>3.51<br>3.37<br>3.30<br>3.01<br>2.77<br>2.68<br>2.61<br>2.50<br>2.47<br>2.36<br>2.28<br>2.13<br>2.09 | N<br>S<br>m<br>W<br>m<br>w<br>s<br>m<br>s<br>w<br>W<br>W<br>s<br>W<br>W<br>VW<br>VW<br>VW<br>vw | 1<br>3<br>0<br>3<br>1<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>3<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>2<br>4<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>0<br>2<br>222<br>4<br>$\overline{c}$<br>2<br>8<br>$\overline{c}$<br>0<br>4<br>4<br>0<br>3<br>3<br>2<br>0<br>1<br>3<br>1<br>1<br>3<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>$\overline{c}$<br>0 | 2.05<br>2.03<br>1.922<br>1.886<br>1.847<br>1.794<br>1,658<br>1.654<br>1.577<br>1.514<br>1.447<br>1.416<br>1.369<br>1.341 | 2.05<br>2.02<br>1.937<br>1.884<br>1.850<br>1.794<br>1.751<br>1,660<br>1.631<br>1.572<br>1.514<br>1.441<br>1.416<br>1.365<br>1.347 | w<br>W<br>W<br>W<br>W<br>W<br>W<br>VW<br>VW<br>W<br>VW<br>VW<br>VW<br>VW<br>VW |

X-ray powder diffraction data of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$ 

TABLE 3

X-ray powder diffraction data of  $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$ 

| $h \, k \, 1$   | $d_{calc.}$  | $d_{exp.}$   | I.  | h k l  | $d_{\text{calc.}}$  | $d_{exp.}$  | Ι   |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| $1 \; 1$<br>- 0<br>1 <sub>1</sub><br>1<br>02<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>3<br>0<br>-2<br>1<br>1 <sup>1</sup><br>2<br>0 <sub>2</sub><br>$\overline{c}$<br>1 <sup>2</sup><br>1<br>12<br>$^{2}$<br>0 <sup>3</sup><br>1<br>$\overline{c}$<br>0<br>1<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>0<br>2<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>्र<br>1<br>4<br>1<br>2<br>$2^2$<br>1 | 4.16<br>3.60<br>3.58<br>3.52<br>3.39<br>3.10<br>2.96<br>2.71<br>2.48<br>2.33<br>2.21<br>2.16<br>2.07<br>1.924<br>1.883 | 4.09<br>3.58<br>3.52<br>3.40<br>3.28<br>3.03<br>2.99<br>2.72<br>2.46<br>2.33<br>2.22<br>2.16<br>2.06<br>1.936<br>1.892 | m<br>S<br>S<br>W<br>VW<br>$\mathbf{s}$<br>VW<br>W<br>m<br>W<br>VW<br>W<br>w<br>m<br>W | 321<br>222<br>4<br>20<br>4<br>1 <sup>3</sup><br>6.<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>4<br>2 <sub>2</sub><br>23<br>3<br>1<br>3<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>3<br>-2<br>1<br>5<br>$\mathbf{1}$<br>1<br>1 5<br>2 | 1.840<br>1.799<br>1.695<br>1.649<br>1.600<br>1.550<br>1.489<br>1.463<br>1.382<br>1.354<br>1.322 | 1.831<br>1.796<br>1.739<br>1.696<br>1.649<br>1,602<br>1,551<br>1.520<br>1.486<br>1.463<br>1.380<br>1.353<br>1.321 | W<br>m<br>VW<br>vw<br>W<br>W<br>VW<br>W<br>W<br>W<br>VW<br>W<br>M |

Thermal decomposition studies of the salts  $\rm N_2H_5Sr_2$  and  $\rm N_2H_5Sr_2F_5$ show that their decomposition occurs in two steps through the intermediate:  $\texttt{NH}_{4}\texttt{SnF}_3$  and  $\texttt{NH}_{4}\texttt{Sn}_2\texttt{F}_5$ . In both cases the final product is tin(II) fluoride.

The thermal decomposition of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$  (Fig. 1) begins at  $41^{\circ}C$ , and up to 206<sup>o</sup>C the sample loses 7.2 % of its initial weight, which coincides well with the formation of  $NH_{14}$ SnF<sub>3</sub> (calculated weight loss is 7.19 %). The intermediate was isolated and identified by chemical analysis.



Fig. 1. TG, DTG and DTA curves of  $N_2H_5SnF_3$ 

The first step is accompanied by an endothermic DTA peak at  $65^{\circ}$ C. The second step, which occurs immediately, is accompanied by exothermic DTA peaks at  $213^{\circ}$  and  $234^{\circ}$ C and the DTG minima at the same temperatures. Up to 350°C the cumulative weight loss is 24.3 %, which correlates well with the theoretical value for the production of tin(II) fluoride (24.94 %). At  $560^{\circ}$ C the thermal decomposition of tin(II) fluoride begins.

The decomposition of  $\rm N_2H_{\rm c}Sn_2F_{\rm c}$  (Fig. 2) begins at 40°C, and in the temperature range 40' to 2O3'C it loses 4.2 % of its initial weight, which agrees with the expected weight change (4.11 %) for the formation of  $NH_{4}Sn_{2}F_{5}$ , the intermediate, which was characterized by chemical analysis. The DTA curve exhibits two endothermic peaks at  $48^{\circ}$  and  $74^{\circ}$ C and a DTG minimum at 40°C. Further decomposition is exothermic with a DTA peak at 218°C, and the DTG minima at 208° and 222°C. Up to 345°C the sample loses 15.3 % of the starting weight, which is very close to the theoretical value (14.25 %) for the formation of tin(II) fluoride.



Fig. 2. TG, DTG and DTA curves of  $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$ 

The thermal decomposition of  $N_2H_5$ SnF<sub>3</sub> and  $N_2H_5$ Sn<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> can be described as shown below:

$$
N_2H_5SnF_3 \xrightarrow{-H_2} NH_4SnF_3 \xrightarrow{-206^{\circ}C} NH_4SnF_3 \xrightarrow{-206^{\circ} - 305^{\circ}C} ShF_2
$$
  
\n
$$
N_2H_5Sn_2F_5 \xrightarrow{-H_2} M_2, -H_2
$$
  
\n
$$
N_4Sn_2F_5 \xrightarrow{-H_3} NH_4Sn_2F_5 \xrightarrow{-203^{\circ} - 345^{\circ}C} ShF_2
$$
  
\n
$$
N_4Sn_2F_5 \xrightarrow{-H_3} Mr_4Sn_2F_5 \xrightarrow{-203^{\circ} - 345^{\circ}C} ShF_2
$$

### EXPERIMENTAL

# Materials

Hydrazinium(1+) fluoride was synthesized by heating anhydrous hydrazine and hydrazinium(2+) fluoride  $[16]$ . Hydrazinium(2+) fluoride was prepared by neutralization of hydrazinium hydrate with an aqueous solution of hydrogen fluoride [17].

## Preparation of the samples

A stoichiometric quantity of tin(I1) fluoride was dissolved in an aqueous solution of hydrazinium(l+) fluoride. After evaporation nearly to dryness, crystalline  $N_2H_5$ SnF<sub>3</sub> was obtained.

 $N_2H_5Sn_2F_5$  was prepared by taking a 2:1 molar ratio of tin(II) fluoride and hydrazinium(l+) fluoride.

## Characterization

Hydrazine was determined potentiometrically  $\begin{bmatrix} 18 \end{bmatrix}$ . Tin was determined by a modified method of indirect complexometric titration  $\begin{bmatrix} 19,20 \end{bmatrix}$ . Total fluoride ion  $(F_t)$  and free fluoride ion  $(F_f^-)$  were determined by the direct method, or after distillation, using an ion selective electrode  $\begin{bmatrix} 21,22 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Chemical analyses are summarized as follows:

$$
N_2H_5SnF_3: Found: N_2H_4, 15.8; Sn, 56.8; F_t, 27.3; Calc.: N_2H_4, 15.84; Sn, 56.86; F_t, 27.30.
$$
  
\n
$$
N_2H_5Sn_2F_5: Found: N_2H_4, 9.1; Sn, 63.4; F_t, 26.4; F_f^-, 26.2; Calc.: N_2H_4, 8.77; Sn, 64.96; F_t, 25.99.
$$
  
\n
$$
NH_4SnF_3: Found: NH_4^+, 9.2; Calc.: NH_4^+, 9.31.
$$
  
\n
$$
NH_4Sn_2F_5: Found: NH_4^+, 5.0; Calc.: NH_4^+, 5.15.
$$

Infrared spectra of the powdered solid pressed between CsBr discs were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer 521 spectrometer. The Raman spectra of the solids in a Pyrex tube were recorded using a Spex 1401 machine. As exciting radiation, the 514.5 nm line of an  $Ar^+$  laser (Coherent Radiation) was used. X-ray powder diffraction data were obtained with a Debye-Scherrer type camera and  $CuK_{\infty}$  radiation.

A Mettler TA 1 Thermoanalyzer was used for the thermal decomposition studies. In a run 100 mg of sample was used and this was referenced against a 100 mg sample of  $\alpha$ -A1<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub>. The heating rate for the furnace was 4<sup>o</sup>C min<sup>-1</sup> and the decompositions were carried out in a dried argon atmosphere with a flow rate of 5 1 hr<sup>-1</sup>. The DTG range was 10 mg min<sup>-1</sup> and the DTA range was 100 µV.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 

We are indebted to Miss B. Sedej for chemical analysis and Dr. S. Milidev for useful discussions of vibrational spectra. The work was financed through the Research Community of Slovenia.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 E.L. Muetterties, Inorg. Chem., **1 (1962)** 342. -
- 2 J.D. Donaldson and J.D. Donoghue, J. Chem. Sot., **(1964)** 271.
- **3** L. Goost and G. Bergerhoff, Naturwissenschaften, 54**(1967)** 248.
- 4 J.D. Donaldson and B.J. Senior, J. Chem. Sot **(A),719671** 1821.
- 5 A.L. Lavassani, L. Cot, C. Geneys and C. Avinens, Compt. Rend., Ser. C, 280 (1975) 1211.
- 6 J.C. Müler, G.K. Stookey and C.W. Beck, J. Dent. Res., 46 (1967) 380.
- **7** J.D. Donaldson, J.D. Donoghue and R. Oteng, J. Chem. Sot., Dalton Trans (1965) 3876.
- 8 A.L. Lavassani, G. Jourdan, C. Avinens and L. Cot, Compt. Rend. Ser.C, - 279 (1974) 307.
- 9 J. Slivnik, J. Maček, A. Rahten and B. Sedej, Thermochim. Acta, 39 (1980) 21.
- 10 R.R. McDonald, A.C. Larson and D.T. Cromer, Acta Cryst., 17 (1964) 1104 -
- 11 J.D. Donaldson, J.F. Knifton, J.D. Donoghue and S.D. Ross, Spectrochim. Acta, 22 (1966) 1173.
- 12 P. Glavič and D. Hadži, Spectrochim. Acta, 28 A (1972), 1963.
- 13 J.D. Donaldson, S.D. Ross and B.J. Senior, Spectrochim. Acta, 24A (1968) 1899.
- 14 J. Slivnik, A. Rahten, J. Maček and B. Sedej, Bull. Slov. Chem. Soc., - 26 (1979) 19.
- 15 B. Volavšek, A. Rahten and J. Slivnik, Bull. Slov. Chem. Soc., 28 **(1981) 175.**
- 16 P. Glavič and J. Slivnik, Monatsh. Chem., 98 (1968) 1878.
- 17 M.L. Kronberg and H.J. Harker, J. Chem. Phys., 10 (1942) 309.
- 18 W.M. McBride, R.A. Henry and S. Skolnik, Anal. Chem., 23 (1951) 890.
- 19 J. Krogten, Talanta, 22 (1975) 505. -
- 20 G. Schwarzenbach and H. Flaschka, Complexometric Titrations, Methuen, London, 1969.
- 21 G. Pietzka and P. Ehrlich, Angew. Chem., 65 (1953) 131.
- 22 Y. Koryta, Anal. Chim. Acta, 61 (1972) 329.